1	Carolyn Hunt Cottrell (SBN 166977) Ori Edelstein (SBN 268145)	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
2		06/30/2023	
3		By: T. Lewis Deputy	
2			
4			
(
7	ksandoval@schneiderwallace.com pgaudard@schneiderwallace.com		
8	[Additional Counsel Listed on Next Page]		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
1(COUNTY C	OF LOS ANGELES	
11	ROBERT SILVA, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf	Case No. BC697656	
12	of the general public,	REVISED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR	
13	Plaintiff,	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT	
14	vs.		
15	DOES I through 10, inclusive,	Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable David S. Cunningham, III, Department 11	
16	Defendants.	Date filed: March 12, 2018	
17		CLASS ACTION	
ж ¹⁸ д 19	JUAN MARTINEZ, on behalf of himself	<i>Consolidated</i> : Case No. 19STCV12958	
7. 10 20	Plaintiff,		
20 21	vs.	CLASS ACTION	
Electronically Received 06/28/2023 04:46 PM	EDS SERVICE SOLUTIONS, LLC and DOES 1-100, inclusive,		
_pay 23	Defendants.		
.ia 24			
≌ 25 ≧			
26 nica			
27 80			
ш [~] 28	MOTION FOR PRELIMINA	RDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' ARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Solutions, LLC, et al.; Case No. BC697656	

1	ERICA PRICE, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Consolidated: Case No. 20STCV02111
2	Plaintiff,	
3	vs.	CLASS ACTION
4	EDS SERVICE SOLUTIONS, LLC and	
5	DOES 1-100, inclusive,	
6	Defendants. OCTAVIA SCOTT, on behalf of herself	Consolidated:
7	and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 19STCV43044
8	Plaintiff,	
9	VS.	CLASS ACTION
10	EDS SERVICE SOLUTIONS, LLC and DOES 1-100, inclusive,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	REVISED PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Lead Case: Silva v. EDS Service Solutions, LLC, et al.; Case No. BC697656	

1	Zorik Mooradian (SBN 136636) Haik Hacopian (SBN 282361)
2	MOORADIAN LAW, APC 5023 North Parkway Calabasas
3	Calabasas, California 91302 Telephone: (818) 876-9627
4	Facsimile: (888) 783-1030
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class
6	Roman Otkupman (SBN 249423) Meghan Maertz (SBN 276976)
7	OTKUPMAN LÀW FIRM, ALC 28632 Roadside Drive, Suite 203
	Agoura Hills, California 91301
8	Telephone: (818) 293-5623 Facsimile: (888) 850-1310
9	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class
11	Matthew J. Matern (SBN 15798) Matthew W. Gordon (SBN 267971)
12	Vanessa M. Rodriguez (SBN 316382) MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
13	1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200
	Manhattan Beach, California 90266 Telephone: (310) 531-1900
14	Facsimile: (310) 531-1901
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class
16	Michael Nourmand (SBN 198439) James A. De Sario (SBN 262552)
17	THE NOURMAND LAW FIRM, APC
18	8822 West Olympic Boulevard Beverly Hills, California 90211
19	Telephone: (310) 553-3600 Facsimile: (310) 553-3603
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	REVISED PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT
	Lead Case: Silva v. EDS Service Solutions, LLC, et al.; Case No. BC697656

The Motion of Plaintiffs Robert Silva, Juan Martinez, Erica Price, and Octavia Scott 1 (collectively "Plaintiffs") for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") 2 3 came on regularly for hearing before this Court on June 23, 2023, at 9:00 A.M. The Court, having considered the proposed settlement agreement (the "Settlement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the 4 Declaration of Carolyn Hunt Cottrell filed concurrently with the Motion, the Motion, Memorandum 5 of Points and Authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith, and any 6 argument presented at the hearing on the Motion; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS 7 THE FOLLOWING: 8 The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth 1. 9 in the Settlement and finds its terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that 10 ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Approval Hearing. 11 2. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court finds that the proposed Class is 12 ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members 13 of the Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants 14 conditional certification of the Class, which is defined as follows: 15 All current and former non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendant in California at 16 any time during the period from March 12, 2014, to October 28, 2022. 17 2. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court designates Plaintiffs Robert Silva, Juan 18 Martinez, Erica Price, and Octavia Scott as Class Representatives, and designates Schneider 19 Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP, Mooradian Law APC, Otkupman Law Firm ALC, Matern Law 20Group PC, and The Nourmand Law Firm APC as Class Counsel. 21 3. The Court designates Settlement Services, Inc. as the third-party Settlement 22 Administrator for mailing notices and administering the Settlement. 23 24 4. The Court approves, as to form and content, the notice of settlement ("Settlement 25 Notice"), attached as Exhibit A, to the Settlement. 6. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class Members regarding the pendency 26 of the action and of the Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to Class Members, constitute 27 28 the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice **REVISED PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'** MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

Lead Case: Silva v. EDS Service Solutions, LLC, et al.; Case No. BC697656

to all Class Members. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements
 of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the
 California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.

7. The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to opt out of or object
to the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Notice.

8. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final
Approval Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly
presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process
rights of all Class Members.

9. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to send the Settlement Notice to the
Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

12 10. The Settlement Notice shall provide 60 days' notice from the date of initial mailing
13 (plus an additional 14 days for Class Members whose notices were remailed) for Class Members to
14 opt out of, or object to, the Settlement.

15 11. The Final Approval Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement should be
16 finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled for October 28, 2023, at 9:00 A.M.
17 in Department 11.

18 12. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement 19 should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Class, the Aggrieved Employees 20 and the State of California; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should 21 be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiffs' application for reasonable attorneys' fees in the amount of 22 \$666,600.00 or one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, reimbursement of litigation expenses, 23 Service Awards to Plaintiffs in the amount of \$5,000 each, and settlement administration costs 24 should be granted.

13. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and
materials in support of their request for final approval of the Settlement, attorneys' fees, litigation
expenses, Plaintiffs' representative payment, and settlement administration costs prior to the Final
Approval Hearing according to the time limits set by the Code of Civil Procedure and the California

REVISED PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Lead Case: Silva v. EDS Service Solutions, LLC, et al.; Case No. BC697656 1 Rules of Court.

14.

\mathbf{a}	
,	
1.	
_	

The Court orders that the following implementation schedule be followed:

EVENT	DEADLINE
Preliminary Approval of the Settlement by the Court	June 23, 2023
Defendant to deliver Class Data to the Administrator (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 4.2)	Within 30 days after entry of the order granting Preliminary Approval
Administrator notifies Class Counsel that it received Class Data, and states the number of Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, Workweeks, and PAGA Pay Periods in the Class Data (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 7.4.1)	Within 5 business days after receipt of Class Data.
Administrator to mail Class Notice to Class Members (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 7.4.2)	14 days after receiving the Class Data
Class Member Response Deadline for written objections, challenges to workweeks and/or PAGA Pay Periods, and Requests for Exclusion (Settlement Agreement, ¶¶ 7.4.4, 7.5.1, 7.5.6)	60 days after Administrator mails Class Notice (plus additional 14 days for Class Members whose notices were remailed)
Administrator re-mails any Class Notice returned as undeliverable (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 7.4.2)	3 business days of receiving a returned Class Notice
Opt-Out Class Member's deadline to withdraw Request for Exclusion (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 7.5.5)	30 days after Administrator mails Class Notice (plus additional 14 days for Class Members whose notices were remailed)
Settlement Administrator to provide Counsel notice of the valid Request for Exclusion letters received (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 7.7.2)	5 days after expiration of the Response Deadline
Settlement Administrator to provide Counsel its Declaration (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 7.7.5)	14 days before due date for Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval
4 REVISED PROPOSED ORDER MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY A Lead Case: Silva v. EDS Service Solutio	PPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

1 2	Deadline for Defendant to exercise its option to cancel the settlement (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 8)	7 business days after Administrator provides notice of valid Request for Exclusion letters.	
3 4 5	Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Counsel Fee Award and Class Counsel Litigation Expense Payments (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 3.2.1)	16 court days prior to Final Approval Hearing	
6 7	Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 9)	16 court days prior to Final Approval Hearing	
8	Parties deadline to respond to objections (Settlement Agreement, ¶ 9.1)	5 court days prior to Final Approval Hearing	
9	Final Approval Hearing	October 26, 2023	
10			
11	15. Pending the Final Approval Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than		
12	proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this		
13	Order, are stayed.		
14	16. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in		
15	connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with		
16	either this Order or the terms of the Settlement.		
17 18	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	Dated: 06/30/2023 , 2023	David S. lunaungham	
20	, 2020	The Honorable David S. Cunningham, III	
21		Judge of the Superior Court	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	5 REVISED PROPOSED ORDEI MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY A Lead Case: Silva v. EDS Service Soluti	APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT	